Este artículo puede ser usado únicamente para uso personal o académico. Cualquier otro uso requiere permiso del autor.

A Lie-based approach to the general framework of chaotic synchronization

Ricardo Femat^a and Ljupco Kocarev^b

^aMatem**a**ticas Aplicadas y Sistemas Computacionales, IPICYT,

Apdo Postal 3-90, 78231, Tangamanga, San Luis Potos

^bInstitute for Nonlinear Science, UCSD.

March 18, 2003

ABSTRACT

Diverese phenomena have been reported on the synchornization of chaotic systems. Therefore, the generalized framework of the chaotic synchronization is an actual scienti⁻c debate. Here, a Lie-based geometrical approach is presented to remark some geometrical properties of the nonlinear (chaotic) systems toward their synchronization. That is, we address the general problem of ⁻nding the conditions for the existence of the synchronization function y = (x). The contribution is focused on the 2 and 3 dimensional (unidirectionally coupled) systems. Illustrative examples are provided along the text.

I. Introduction.

Synchronous behavior can be found in diverse dynamical systems (as, to mention some, biological [1] or communication [2]) and its study is theoretically interesting and technologically important. The greek root of the word "synchronization" means "to share the common time"; that is, by de-nition, synchronous behavior signify that two or more systems occur at same time. Such a de-nition can be directly used on regular dynamical systems. Nevertheless, there are diverse synchronization phenomena on the chaotic systems [3]. Indeed, synchronization has been induced in strictly di[®]erent oscillators [4],[5] and systems with di[®]erent order [6]. Such a fact increases the complexity on the meaning of the chaotic synchronization. In the nonlinear science, the de⁻nition of the synchronous behavior means that the trajectories of two or more dynamical systems evolve, in some sense, close along their trajectories. As a consequence of the diversity of the synchronization phenomena, the actual discussion point is the uni⁻cation toward a general de⁻nition of the synchronous behavior in chaotic systems [7], [8]. The idea is that the di[®]erent kinds of synchronization can be captured by a formalism [7] by searching the existence of a di[®]ermorphism between attractors of the coupled systems [9] whose properties involve a time-invariant synchronization manifold (some authors called it "the synchronization function" [8]).

The e[®]orts have been focused on the analysis of the time-invariant manifolds related with synchronization [8]-[10]. Two basic approaches have been exploited. On the one hand, chaotic synchronization has been interpreted as the prediction of the chaotic system, i.e., observability approach. In this sense, the reconstruction of the drive system attractor from the response system is interpreted as an observer [10]. An interesting point about observ-

ability of the synchronization systems is that di[®]erential geometry allows to ⁻nd an invariant space under vector ⁻elds where the attractor can be reconstructed (see Chapter 1 in [11]). Synchronization of chaotic systems, on the other hand, has been also studied from the measurable variables (system output) [5]-[9]. In such a case, the synchronization is understood as a control (stabilization) problem. In other words, to compute the controller such that the di[®]erence between trajectories of the slave system x_S(t) remains close to the trajectories of the master system x_M(t). That is, the point is to ⁻nd the invariant space such that the origin of the synchronization error system kx_M(t) _j x_S(t)k = 0 can be stabilized. Both observability and controlability of nonlinear systems are included in the geometrical control theory [11],[13].

Here, the goal is to provide some remarks on the di[®]eomorphism between chaotic attractors by exploiting the Lie algebra of the chaotic systems [12] to compute the synchronization function. In this manner, in this paper we discuss both observation and stabilization approaches. That is, we are interested in the Lie-based geometric properties of the class of dynamical system given by $\dot{X} = \dot{\iota}_M(x_M)$; $\dot{\iota}_S(x_S)$; g(x)u, where $x \ 2 \ R^n$ is, by de⁻nition, $x := x_M$; x_S and stands for the state vector of the synchronization error system, $\dot{\iota}_M : R^n ! \ R^n$, $\dot{\iota}_S : R^n ! \ R^n$ and $g : R^n ! \ R^n$ are smooth vector ⁻elds. The product g(x)uis related to the synchronization command. The scalar function u = u(x) can be computed from the construction of accessibility spaces [13]. Since we are interested in the output $y = {}_s(x)$ of the above-mentioned dynamical system, the synchronization is fomuled in terms of geometrical properties of the system along the vector ⁻elds $\dot{\iota}(x; x_M) = \dot{\iota}_M(x_M)$; $\dot{\iota}_S(x_S)$ and g(x). Thus the question is: what are the geometrical properties of any synchronization error system such that the scalar function u = u(x) guarantees the existence of the synchronization function y = (x)? This is addressed via Lie algebra of the vector $\bar{}$ eld related to the synchronization error system.

The text is organized as follows. Preliminaries on the Lie-based geometry of the synchronization systems are presented in Sect. II. Third section has main results. The text is closed with some conclusions. Illustrative examples are presented along the text.

II. Lie-based geometry of nonlinear systems

The idea behind the Lie-based geometry is to ⁻nd the coordinates decompositions of the synchronization error system. Thus, an invariant space (called distribution) can be constructed and analyzed. Such a space is spanned by the vector ⁻elds of the synchronization error system and the Lie Brackets between them. In seek of completeness, this section contains a brief description of the decompositions. Then, the geometrical properties, from complete (generalized) synchronization of two non-identical chaotic systems -same model with di®erent initial conditions and parameter values - is shown as illustrative example.

A. Lie brackets and distribution notion

The starting point is in the properties of the Lie Brackets. A Lie bracket is a kind of di®erential operation on a dynamical system along its vector $\overline{}$ elds. Such an operation will be denoted by $[\underline{i}_1; \underline{i}_2]$ for the vector $\overline{}$ elds $\underline{i}_1; \underline{i}_2 : \mathbb{R}^n$! \mathbb{R}^n and is de $\overline{}$ ned by

$$[\underline{i}_1;\underline{i}_2](\mathbf{x}) , \quad \frac{\underline{\mathscr{Q}}_2}{\underline{\mathscr{Q}}_{\mathbf{X}}}\underline{i}_1(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{i} \quad \frac{\underline{\mathscr{Q}}_2}{\underline{\mathscr{Q}}_{\mathbf{X}}}\underline{i}_2(\mathbf{x})$$
(1)

at each x in the subset U 1/2 Rⁿ, where

$$\begin{array}{c}
 O & 1 \\
 \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \left[\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{i}_{11}} & \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{i}_{12}} & \cdots & \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{i}_{12}} \\
 \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{X}_{1}} & \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{n}} \\
 \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{1}} & \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2}} & \cdots & \vdots \\
 \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{1}} & \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2}} & \text{ctt} & \frac{@}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{n}} \\
 \end{array} \right]$$
(2)

stands for the Jacobian matrices of the mappings \underline{i}_i , i = 1; 2. The di®erential operation (1) of a vector $-\text{eld}_{2}$ with the same vector, namely \underline{i}_1 , is given by $[\underline{i}_1[\vdots::\underline{i}_1[\underline{i}_1;\underline{i}_2]]:::]$. For the sake of simplicity in notation, the recursive k_i th Lie bracket of the vector $-\text{eld}_{2}$ along the vector $-\text{eld}_{1}$ valuated at the point x 2 Rⁿ is denoted by the expression $|b_{\underline{i}_1}^k\underline{i}_2(x)| = [\underline{i}_1; |b_{\underline{i}_1}^{k_1}] (\underline{i}_2)(x)$ for any k_1 1 and $|b_{\underline{i}_1}^0\underline{i}_2(x)| = \underline{i}_2(x)$ [11]. The Lie bracket between the vector $-\text{eld}_{1} \underline{i}_1$ and \underline{i}_2 has the following properties:

- ² is bilinear over R, that is, if μ_1 ; μ_2 ; λ_1 and λ_2 are vector ⁻elds, λ_1 and λ_2 are real numbers, then (i) $[\lambda_1\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\lambda_2; \mu_1] = \lambda_1[\lambda_1; \mu_1] + \lambda_2[\lambda_2; \mu_1]$ and (ii) $[\lambda_1; \lambda_1\mu_1 + \lambda_2\mu_2] = \lambda_1[\lambda_1; \mu_1] + \lambda_2[\lambda_2; \mu_2]$
- ² satis⁻es $[\dot{\zeta}_1; \dot{\zeta}_2] = i [\dot{\zeta}_2; \dot{\zeta}_1].$

Now, suppose that on a open set U there are d vector $-\text{elds }_{i}$ such that, for any given point x in U, the vector $_{i}(x)$ span a vector space. Let us denote the assignment a vector space to each point x of U as $\Phi(x) = \text{span } f_{i}(x):::_{i}(x)g; \Phi$ is called distribution. In seek of illustrate such a notion, let us de ne F as a matrix having n columns whose entries are smooth functions of any variable, namely x. The columns of the matrix F can be interpreted as vector $\bar{}$ elds. Hence, such a matrix identi $\bar{}$ es the distribution spanned by its columns and can be valued by $\Phi(x) = Im(F(x))$, where Im(F(x)) denotes the image of the matrix F at any x in U. Of course, the dimension of the distribution can be associated to the rank of the matrix F at the point x 2 U. Finally, let x⁰ be any open set U, it is said that x⁰ is a regular point of a distribution Φ if there exists a neighborhood U⁰ of x⁰ such that the distribution Φ is nonsingular at any x in U⁰. Thus, if Φ_1 and Φ_2 are distributions, then:

- ² the sum $\mathfrak{C}_1 + \mathfrak{C}_2$ is defined by taking the pointwise the sum of the subspaces $\mathfrak{C}_1(x)$ and $\mathfrak{C}_2(x)$, namely $(\mathfrak{C}_1 + \mathfrak{C}_2)(x) = \mathfrak{C}_1(x) + \mathfrak{C}_2(x)$,
- ² the intersection $\mathfrak{C}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{C}_2$ is defined as $(\mathfrak{C}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{C}_2)(x) = \mathfrak{C}_1(x) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_2(x)$.

B. Involutive distributions and °ows

Definition 1 Let i_1 and i_2 two vector fields belonging to the distribution \mathcal{C} . It is said that the distribution \mathcal{C} is involutive if the Lie bracket $[i_1; i_2]$ is a vector field belonging to the distribution $\mathcal{C}_{\cdot \mathcal{C}}$

Example 2 Let $\mathcal{M}_{i_1}^{1}$; i = 1; 2; 3 be real positive constants and consider two vector fields \mathcal{L}_{i_1} and \mathcal{L}_{i_2} in \mathbb{R}^3 given by $\mathcal{L}_{i_1}(x) = (\mathcal{M}_{i_1}(x_{2i_1} x_{1i_1}); \mathcal{M}_{2}x_{1i_1} x_{2i_1} x_{1i_2}x_{1i_3}; \mathcal{M}_{3}x_{3i_1} + x_{1i_2}x_{2i_1})^T$ and $\mathcal{L}_{i_2}(x) = (\mathcal{I}_{1}(x_{2i_1} x_{1i_1}); \mathcal{I}_{2}x_{1i_1} x_{2i_1} x_{1i_3}; \mathcal{I}_{1i_3}x_{3i_1} + x_{1i_2})^T$. Note that $\mathcal{L}_{i_1}(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{i_2}(x)$ define the vector fields of two Lorenz equations with parameters \mathcal{M}_{i_1} and \mathcal{I}_{i_1} , respectively. In addition, dim(span $f_{\mathcal{L}_{1}}(x); \mathcal{L}_{2}(x)g) = 2$ at any point $x_1 \in x_2$ and $x_3 \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ far away the origin and for all parameters values \mathcal{M}_{i_1} ; $\mathcal{I}_{i_1} \in 0$. Thus, since the Lie bracket $[\mathcal{L}_{i_1}; \mathcal{L}_{2}](x) =$

belongs to the distribution $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{x})$ for any parameters values $\mathscr{Y}_i = {}^1_i \mathbf{6} \mathbf{0}$, hence, at any point far away the origin with $\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{6} \mathbf{x}_2$ and $\mathbf{x}_3 \mathbf{6} \mathscr{Y}_2$, the distribution $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{x})$ is involutive. Finally, note that the condition ${}^1_i = \mathscr{Y}_i$; $\mathbf{i} = 1$; 2; 3 means that both Lorenz equations are identical. $_2$

De-nition 3 A distribution \mathfrak{C} , de-ned on an open set U, is no singular if there exists an integer ½ such that dim($\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{x})$) = ½ for all x in U. Otherwise, the distribution is called singular. \mathfrak{c}

De⁻nition 4 A point x^0 of any U is called regular point of a distribution C(x) if there exists a neighborhood U⁰ of x^0 with the property that C is no singular on U⁰.

Example 5 Let us consider the vector $[elds \ i_1(x) = (f_1(x); f_2(x))^T$ and $i_2(x) = (0; 1)^T$, where $f_1(x) = x_2$ and $f_2(x)$ are analytical functions of x 2 R². Note that, for this example, the vector $[eld \ i_2(x)$ represents the second-order oscillators (e.g., if the function is given by $f_2(x) = i \ \frac{1}{4}x_2 + x_1 i \ x_1^3$, the vector $[eld \ i_1(x)$ represents the vector $[eld \ of the Du \pm ng$ equation). Now, the distribution $\Phi(x) = \operatorname{span} f_{i_1}(x); i_2(x)g$ has dimension 2 on the set U = $fx 2 R^2 : x_2 \bullet 0g$ and dimension 1 on the set $@ = fx 2 R^2 : x_2 = 0g$. Thus, the distribution $\Phi = \operatorname{span} f_{i_1}; i_2g$ is singular at the origin; however, it is regular elsewhere. The point $\mathbf{r} = 0$ is called no regular point or point of singularity. Note that the distribution Φ is involutive on U; however, Φ is not involutive at R^2 .

An involutive distribution is directly related to the °ow of dynamical systems by the

Frobenius Theorem.

Theorem 6 (Frobenius Theorem, [11])A no singular distribution \clubsuit is integrable if and only if it is involutive.

Example 7 Consider the following distribution dended on R^2 , $C = \text{span} f_{\dot{c}_1 : \dot{c}_2} g$, where

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{O} \quad \mathbf{1} \qquad \mathbf{O} \qquad \mathbf{1} \qquad \mathbf{O} \qquad \mathbf{1} \qquad \mathbf{O} \qquad \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{\dot{z}}_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{\mathbf{B}}_{i} \begin{array}{c} x_{1}^{2} \quad \mathbf{\dot{g}} \\ \mathbf{\dot{k}} \end{array}; \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\dot{z}}_{2}(\mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{\mathbf{B}}_{i} \begin{array}{c} x_{2} + x_{1}(1_{i} \ x_{2}^{2} \ i \ x_{1}^{2}) \\ \mathbf{\dot{k}} \end{array} \\ \mathbf{\dot{k}} + x_{2}(1_{i} \ x_{2}^{2} \ i \ x_{1}^{2}) \\ \mathbf{\dot{k}} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\dot{k}} \\ \mathbf{\dot{k}} \end{array}$$
therefore dim($\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})$) = 2 for any \mathbf{x} in $\mathbf{U} = \overset{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{x}} 2 \ \mathbf{R}^{2} : \mathbf{x}_{2} = \mathbf{x}_{1}; \mathbf{0} < \mathbf{j} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{j} < 2 \overset{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{\overline{5}}} \overset{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{\overline{5}}} \overset{\mathbf{O}}{\mathbf{\overline{5}}} \overset{\mathbf{O}}{\mathbf{1}} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{N} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{\dot{k}} \\ \mathbf{\dot{k}} \end{array} \\ \text{therefore dim}(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})) = 2 \text{ for any } \mathbf{x} \text{ in } \mathbf{U} = \overset{\mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{x}} 2 \ \mathbf{R}^{2} : \mathbf{x}_{2} = \mathbf{x}_{1}; \mathbf{0} < \mathbf{j} \mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{j} < 2 \overset{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{\overline{5}}} \overset{\mathbf{O}}{\mathbf{\overline{5}}} \overset{\mathbf{O}}{\mathbf{1}} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{N} \end{array} \\ \text{that the distribution } \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is involutive on } \mathbf{U} \\ \text{therefore of } \mathbf{\dot{k}} = \mathbf{\dot{k}}_{1}(\mathbf{x}); \mathbf{i} = 1; 2. \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{y} \end{array} \\ \text{de}^{-n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{y}_{0} = (\mathbf{w}_{1;0}; \mathbf{w}_{2;0}) \ 2 \ \mathbf{R}^{2} \text{ as the conditions at} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{u}_{0} = \mathbf{0} \end{array} \\ \mathbf{t}_{0} = \mathbf{0} \text{ (initial conditions). \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{t}_{i}(\mathbf{x}), \text{ the equation } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{\dot{k}}_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is solved by} \end{array}$

$$x_1 = *_{1;0}(1 i *_{1;0}t)^{i 1}$$

 $x_2 = i t + *_{2;0}$

from where, for any $*_0$ 2 $R^2,$ we have the $\,^{\circ}\text{ow}$ along $\underset{c1}{\underset{c1}{\leftarrow}}$ as follows

$$\overset{O}{\underset{z_{1}}{\otimes}}_{i} (w_{0}) = \bigotimes_{i}^{O} \overset{O}{\underset{z_{1}}{\otimes}}_{i} \overset{1}{\underset{z_{1}}{\otimes}}_{i} \overset{N}{\underset{z_{1}}{\otimes}}_{i} \overset{1}{\underset{z_{1}}{\otimes}}_{i} \overset{1}{\underset{z_{1}}{\otimes}}_{i} \overset{1}{\underset{z_{2}}{\otimes}}$$

$$(3)$$

whereas, about $\mathcal{L}_2(x)$, the equation $\underline{x} = \mathcal{L}_2(x)$ is solved by

$$x_{1} = \cos(t)(1 + u_{1;0} \exp(i 2t))^{i} = 2$$

$$x_{2} = i \sin(t)(1 + u_{2;0} \exp(i 2t))^{i} = 2$$

from where, for any ${}_{v_0} 2 \; {\mathsf R}^2,$ we have the $\,{}^\circ {\rm ow}$ along ${}_{\dot{c}2}$ as

$$\mathbb{O}_{Z_{2}}^{i}(w_{0}) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{O} \frac{\cos(z_{2})(1 + w_{1;0} \exp(i_{2} 2z_{2}))^{i_{1}}}{\sin(z_{2})(1 + w_{2;0} \exp(i_{2} 2z_{2}))^{i_{1}}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{I} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{O} (4)$$

Now, let us de ne a $(z_1; z_2) \not = \sum_{z_1}^{\infty} \sum_{z_2}^{j_1} \sum_{z_2}^{\infty} (x)$, where "±" denotes the composition with respect to the argument x. The mapping a $(z_1; z_2)$ corresponds to the integration of both z_1 and z_2 vector relds. Thus, one has that the mapping becomes

III. Computing the synchronization function

Example 7 shows the importance of the Frobenius Theorem for the decompositions along vector ⁻elds. However, such an example doe snot illustrate the usage of the Frobenius Tehorem in computing the synchronization function. In this section we show how the Lie-based geometry of dynamical systems can be exploited in the chaos synchronization context. In seek of simplicity and completeness, the synchronization of nonchaotic systems is illustrated. Then, the synchronization function on chaotic system is shown.

In what follows, let us denote $\lambda_1(x_M)$; $\lambda_2(x_S)$ and $g(x_S)$ as vector $\bar{}$ elds such that the synchronization error systems can be obtained from the dynamical systems $x_M = \lambda_1(x_M)$ and $x_S = \lambda_2(x_S) + g(x_S)u$, where $x_M \ge R^n$; $x_S \ge R^m$ and $u \ge R$ stands for the control input. Thus, by defining x, $x_M \downarrow x_S$ as the synchronization error, the synchronization system can be written by $x = \lambda_1(x_M) \downarrow \lambda_2(x_S) \downarrow g(x_S)u$. Note that $\lambda_1(x_M)$ corresponds to the vector fields of the master systems whereas $\lambda_2(x_S)$ regards the slave system. Then, the aim is to compute the synchronization function y = L(x) from the vector fields $\Phi_{\lambda} : R^n \mid R^n$ (where Φ_{λ} , $\lambda_{1} \downarrow \lambda_{2}$) and $g : R^n \mid R^n$ by exploiting the Lie-bracket algebra. To this end, we use some properties of the Lie derivative, which is related to the inner product $hd_{\lambda}(x)$; $\Phi_{\lambda}(x)$ is between the vector $d_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\Phi_{\lambda}(x)}{\Phi x_1}$; $\frac{\Phi_{\lambda}}{\Phi x_2}$; \dots ; $\frac{\Phi_{\lambda}}{\Phi x_2}$ and a given vector field $\Phi_{\lambda}(x)$ (see Appendix for some details on the covector field $d_{\lambda}(x)$).

Now, let $\[mathcal{L}_{\dot{\xi}} : \mathbb{R}^n \! \mathbb{R}^n; g : \mathbb{R}^n \! \mathbb{R}^n \]$ be two smooth vector $\[-elds]$. Let us denote $\[mathcal{L}_{\dot{g}}(x) \]$ as the real-valued function of x 2 $\[mathcal{R}^n \]$ such that its exact derivative is given by d $\] (x) = h_{\frac{\varphi_*(x)}{\otimes x_1}}^{i}; ...; \frac{\varphi_*(x)}{\otimes x_n}^{i}$. The output $y = \] (x)$ of the synchronization error system $x = \[mathcal{L}_{\dot{\xi}}(x) + g(x)u$ is a synchronization function if there is a real-valued function $\] (x)$ such that: (i) inner product $hd\] (x); lb^{i}_{\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\xi}}}g(x)i = 0$ for $i = 1; 2; ...; \[mathcal{L}_{\dot{\xi}} i]$ 2 and (ii) $\[mathcal{L}_{\dot{\xi}}(x); lb^{lambdal{L}_{\dot{\xi}}}_{\mathbb{C}_{\dot{\xi}}}g(x)^{\]} \in 0$, for any integer $\[mathcal{L}_{\dot{\xi}} \cdot n$. Note that the integer $\[mathcal{L}_{\dot{\xi}}$ corresponds to the dimension of the involutive distribution (relative degree).

It should be noted that conditions (i) and (ii) imply the existence of a functional relation-

ship, via the real-valued function (x), between states of the synchronization error system (i.e., generalized synchronization). Such conditions are relevant because they signify that the °ow of the synchronization-error system can be a®ected by the scalar function u = u(x) throughout the vector -eld g(x) (i.e., since $-d_{a}(x)$; $lb_{d_{c}}^{k}g(x)^{\otimes}$ denotes the inner product, it relates the orthogonal (tangent) space spaned by the covector $-\text{eld } d_{a}(x)$ and those resulting of the k_i th Lie bracket $lb_{d_{c}}^{k}g(x)$. To demonstrate above claim is beyond the goal of this paper; however a sketch of the proof has been discussed by Solfs-Perales in [14] (also see [15]).

A. Synchronization function in nonchaotic systems

Let ® 2 R and p 2 R² be real positive constants and consider two vector $-elds i_1$ and i_2 in R² given by

$$O = B = X_{2} = X_{1} = X_{1$$

Note that the vector $-eld_{i1}(x)$ is related to the harmonic oscillator $\underline{x}_{M} = \underline{i}_{1}(x_{M})$ (whose fundamental frequency is given by [®] and amplitude is given by initial conditions) whereas as $\underline{i}_{2}(x)$ is a vector -eld (which can be related to a dynamical system $\underline{x}_{S} = \underline{i}_{2}(x_{S})$ whose attractor is a periodic orbit with fundamental frequency equal to 1; see Example 7). Thus, the discrepancy $\underline{\Phi}_{\underline{i}}(x)$, $\underline{i}_{1}(x)$ i $\underline{i}_{2}(x)$ can be interpreted as the vector -eld related to the synchronization between two second-order oscillators. Now, let us consider the vector $-eld g(x) = (g_{1}(x) g_{2}(x))^{T}$, where $g_{1}(x) = 0$ and $g_{2}(x) = 1$ are given constants. Thus, a synchronization force given by u = u(x) 2 R can be computed such that the synchronization

error system takes the $a \pm ne$ form $\underline{x} = \Phi_{\dot{c}}(x)_{\dot{i}} g(x)u$ [5]. In this manner, the problem can be worded as follows: is there any synchronization function $\underline{x}(x)$ such that the °ow along the vector $\overline{eld}_{\dot{c}1}(x)$ and $\underline{c}_2(x)$ is synchronous? In other words, we shall compute the output $y = \underline{x}(x)$ such that the synchronization command g(x)u asymptotically steers the trajectories x(t), $x_M(t)_{\dot{i}} x_S(t)$ around the origin. That is, the °ow along the vector $\overline{eld} \Phi_{\dot{c}}(x)$ converges to zero and, to this end, the atngent (accesability) space is spanned at the origin. Thus, we need to $\overline{n}d$ the distribution $\Phi = \text{span } fg; lb_{\Phi_{\dot{c}}}gg$ such that it is involutive, at least, at the origin. By taking the duiscrepancy vector \overline{eld} , we have that

from where

$$b_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}g(x) = [\mathcal{C}_{i};g](x) = i \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + 3 \end{pmatrix} g_{1} + 2g_{2}x_{1}x_{2} \\ (1 \ i^{\mathbb{R}} + 2x_{1}x_{2})g_{1} \ i^{\mathbb{R}} (x_{1}^{2} + 3x_{2}^{2} \ i^{\mathbb{R}} + 1)g_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, by substituting the constants $g_1 = 0$ and $g_2 = 1$, the distribution becomes $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{SO} \quad \mathbf{1} \quad \mathbf{O} \qquad \mathbf{19} \\ \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{1} \quad \mathbf{O} \qquad \mathbf{19} \\ \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{S$

Now, in order to obtain the synchronization function, we proceed to -nd the real-valued function (x) which satis conditions (i) and (ii). Thus, we have that the synchronization

function y = (x) should satisfy the partial di[®]erential equations

$$d_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{x}); Ib^{0}_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda}}g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\overset{(e)}{=} \overset{(x)}{\underset{@x_{1}}{x_{1}}} \overset{(e)}{\underset{@x_{2}}{x_{2}}} \overset{(x)}{=} \overset{(a)}{\underset{@x_{2}}{x_{2}}} \overset{(a)}{=} \overset{(a)}{\underset{g_{2}}{x_{2}}} \overset{(a)}{\underset{g_{2$$

Conditions (8) is equivalent to $\frac{(e_x)}{(e_{x_1})}g_1 + \frac{(e_x)}{(e_{x_2})}g_2 = 0$. In this manner, since by de⁻nition $g = (0 \ 1)^T$, the real-valued function $(x) = ax_1$ satis⁻es condition (8) if $a \ne 0$ and it can be consequently used as synchronization function. Note that, if synchronization is achieved, the synchronization error x, $x_{M \ i} x_S = 0$ then the synchronization function implies that $x_{1:M} \ x_{1:S}$; i.e., the phase locking corresponds to a straight line with slope equal to a.

B. Finding the synchronization function in chaotic systems

Note that the synchronization associated to the vector $-\text{elds }_{i_1}(x)$ and $_{i_2}(x)$ can be interpreted as the synchronization of two Lorenz systems with di®erent parameters (i.e., nonidentical chaotic systems). Thus, the master system can be written as $\underline{x}_M = \underline{i}_1(x_M)$ and the response system becomes $\underline{x}_S = \underline{i}_2(x_S) + gu$. Therefore, the vector -eld of the synchronizationerror is given by

The maximum dimension of the spanned space is 3. Thus, by compting the distribution $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{4}$ $\clubsuit(x) = \text{span} \quad g; \quad Ib_{\Phi_{\hat{c}}}g \quad Ib_{\Phi_{\hat{c}}}^2g \quad .$

since third vector is linearly dependent of the second one, we have that the integer $\frac{1}{2} = 2$ for any di[®]erence $\frac{1}{4}$ i i^{-1} i $\stackrel{6}{\leftarrow} 0$ and all constant value $g_i \stackrel{6}{\leftarrow} 0$, i = 1; 2; 3. Thus conditions (i) and (ii) becomes 8 - 9

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ &$$

In this manner, we have that the vector $\overline{}$ elds (9), which are related to the Lorenz equation, renders a maximum value of the integer $\frac{1}{2} = 2$ such that the dimension of the distribution holds at any point x in R³ for any parameters values satisfying $\frac{1}{4}$ i $\stackrel{1}{}$; i = 1; 2; 3 and constrants $g_i \in 0$. In this manner, the function $\hat{}_i(x)$ should satisfy the partial di[®]erential equations

$$\mathsf{hd}_{;}; \mathsf{gi} = \frac{@}{@x_1} g_1 + \frac{@}{@x_2} g_2 + \frac{@}{@x_3} g_3 = 0$$

$$\mathsf{hd}_{;}; \mathsf{lb}_{\texttt{C}_{2}} \mathsf{gi} = ((\rlap{\sc w}_1 \ \mathsf{i}^{-1} \ \mathsf{1}) g_1 \ \mathsf{i}^{-1} (\rlap{\sc w}_1 + \, {}^{-1} \ \mathsf{1}) g_2) \frac{@}{@x_1} + (\mathfrak{i}^{-1} \ \rlap{\sc w}_2 + \, {}^{-1} \ \mathsf{2}) g_1 \frac{@}{@x_2} + (\rlap{\sc w}_3 \ \mathsf{i}^{-1} \ \mathsf{3}) g_3 \frac{@}{@x_3} \not = 0$$

$$(12)$$

Note that $g(x) = g_2 x_1 i g_1 x_2$ is solution of the equations (12), and, as consequence, it corresponds to the synchronization function. Since generalized synchronization is related to the existence of a functional relationship between the states of two systems. In this sense the

Lie-based geometry can be exploited to compute the synchronization function (x) toward a general framework of the synchronization theory, and provides the properties of teh chaotic systems such that they can be synchronized (i.e., synchronizability of chaotic systems).

IV. Concludign remarks

The Lie-based geometry has been used in this contribution toward the general framework of the chaotic synchronization. The main idea is to exploit the Lie-algebra of vector ⁻elds realetd to the synchronization-error system for analyzing synchronizability (that is, the property of two dynamical systems such that the synchrony can be induced between them). The novelty in this contribution consists of the geometry inetrpretation on the synchronizability property. Two examples have been presented. The former illustrates the details for computing synchronization function from Lie-based geometry. The later shows the obtainment of the synchronization function on the chaos theory context.

Some questions are still open in the synchornization problem of the chaotic systems. Is there any function relationship between states for the synchronization of strictly-di®erent chaotic systems (i.e., generalized synchronization)? Do generalized synchronization comprise partial-state synchronization or reduced-synchronization ?. We believe that Lie-based geometry approach can provide important information in this direction.

References

[1] Holstein-Rathlou, N.H., Yip, K.P., Sosnovtseva, O.V. and Mosekilde, E., "Synchronization phenomena in nephron-nephron interaction", Chaos, vol. 11, no. 2 (2001) 417-426.

- [2] Kocarev L. and Parlitz U., "General approach for chaotic synchronization with applications to communications", Phys. Rev. Letts., vol. 74, no. 25 (1995) 5028-5031.
- [3] Femat R. and Solis-Perales, G. "On the chaos synchronization phenomena", Phys. Letts.A, vol. 262 (1999) 50-60.
- [4] Xiaofeng, G. and Lai C.H., "On the synchronization of di[®]erent chaotic oscillations", Chaos Solitons and Fractals, vol. 11 (2000) 1231-1235
- [5] Femat R. and J. Alvarez-Ramirez, "Synchronization of two strictly di[®]erent chaotic oscillators", Phys. Letts. A, vol. 236 (1997), 307-313.
- [6] Femat R. and Solis-Perales, G. "Synchronization of chaotic systems with di[®]erent order", Phys. Rev. E, vol. 65, no. 03, (2002) 036226-1.
- [7] Brown, R and Kocarev, L., "An unifying de⁻nition of synchronization for dynamical systems", Chaos, vol. 10, no. 2, (2000) 344-349
- [8] Boccaletti S., Pecora L.M. and Pelaez A., "Unifying framework for synchronization of coupled dynamical systems", Phys. Rev. E, vol. 63, no. 06 (2001) 066219-1.
- [9] Josic K., "Synchronization of chaotic systems and invariant manifolds", Nonlinearity, vol 13 (2000) 1321-1336.
- [10] Nijmeijer, H. and Mareels, M. Y., "An observer looks synchronizaton", IEEE Trans. Circuits and Syst. I., vol. 44 (1997) 307-313.
- [11] Isidori, A., Nonlinear control systems, 3th Ed., Springer-Verlag, 1995, London.

- [12] Kocarev, L., Parlitz, U and Hu, B., "Lie derivatives in dynamical systems", Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol. 9 (1998) 1359-1366.
- [13] Nijmeijer H. and van der Schaft A., Nonlinear control dynamical systems, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [14] Solfs-Perales G., "Synchronization of nonlinear systems", Ph. D. Thesis, Universidad Aut§noma de San Luis Potos¶, M§xico, 2002 (in spanish).
- [15] Solls-Perales G, Ayala V., Kliemann W. and Femat R., "Complete synchronizability of chaotic systems: a geometric approach", Chaos, To appear
- [16] Femat R., "An extension to chaos control via Lie derivatives: Fully linearizable systems", vol. 12, No. 4, (2002) 1027-1033

Appendix: Some properties of the exact di®erential d,

In this appendix the properties of the rela-vaklued funciton _ and its gradient are brie^oy discussed. A detailed analysis can be found in geometrical control theory literature. Here, we have included the required properties to complete discussion in the contribution.

Definition 8 (A.1) Let j be a smooth real-valued function defined on an open set U of Rⁿ. The covector field d defined as the 1 £ n row vector, whose i i the element is the partial derivative of j with respect to x_i; i = 1; 2; ...; n, is called exact di®erential or simplely gradient of j and its value at any point x 2 U ½ Rⁿ becomes d $j(x) = \frac{@}{@x_1} \frac{@}{@x_2} \cdots \frac{@}{@x_n} = \frac{@}{@x_n} \cdot c$

The following operation can be de ned on the exact di[®]erential d_s(x): The inner product between the covector eld d_s(x) and any vector eld $i_1(x)$; both de ned on the open set U

of Rⁿ, is defined as hd_s(x); $i_{i1}(x)i = \prod_{i=1}^{\mathbf{P}} \underbrace{e}_{ex_i} i_{i1}(x) = \underbrace{e}_{ex_i} i_{i1}(x)$. By definition, above operation corresponds to the Lie derivative $L_{i_s}(x)$ of the real-valued function $i_s(x)$ along the vector field $i_{i1}(x)$ (see [12],[16]). Thus, the Lie derivative of the real-valued function has the following properties:

- ² if _1 and _2 are a real-valued functions and $\dot{\iota}_1$ a vector <code>-eld de-ned on an open set U of Rⁿ, then hd_2(x); _1(x)\dot{\iota}_1(x)i = hd_2(x); \dot{\iota}_1(x)i = \frac{i_{\underline{o}}}{\partial x}\dot{\iota}_1(x) = \frac{i_{\underline{o}}}{\partial x}\dot{\iota}_1(x)</code>
- ² if and a real-valued functions and i_{1} , i_{2} are vector fields defined on an open set U of Rⁿ, then $h_{2}(x)i_{2}(x)$; $(x)i_{1}(x)i = (x)i_{1}(x)[i_{2};i_{1}](x) + hd_{1}(x); i_{2}(x)i_{2}(x)i_{2}(x)i_{1}(x)i_{1}(x)i_{1}(x)i_{1}(x)i_{2}(x)$, where $[i_{2};i_{1}](x)$ stands for the Lie bracket between the vector fields $i_{1}(x)$ and $i_{2}(x)$.
- ² if $\lambda_{i}(x)$ is a vector $-eld de-ned on a open set U of Rⁿ and <math>\lambda_{i}(x)$ is a real-valued function, then $L_{\lambda_{i}}d_{\lambda_{i}} = dL_{\lambda_{i}}$, where $L_{\lambda_{i}}$ denotes the Lie derivative of the function $\lambda_{i}(x)$ along the vector $-eld \lambda_{i}(x)$.

Lemma 9 ([11]) Let (x) be a real-valued function and $i_1(x)$ a vector - eld, all de - ned on the open set U of Rⁿ. For any point x^0 belonging to U ½ Rⁿ and any integer (relative degree) $\frac{1}{2} \cdot n$, the rows vectors $d(x^0); dL_{i}(x^0); ...; dL_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ are linearly independent.