

This is an Accepted Manuscript of the following article: *RSC Adv.*, 2015,5, 15194-15197.

The final publication is available at © Royal Society of Chemistry
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA00094G>

RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Effective photoreduction of a nitroaromatic environmental endocrine disruptor by Ag⁰NPs functionalized on nanocrystalline TiO₂.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A. Hernández-Gordillo,^{a,b} S. Obregon,^c F. Paraguay-Delgado^d and V. Rodríguez-González^{a*}

Unprecedented photoactivity of silver nanoparticles photodeposited on nanocrystalline TiO₂ for the efficient reduction of 4-nitrophenol at room temperature is reported. The use of Na₂SO₃, as a harmless scavenger agent for the reduction of a nitroaromatic endocrine disruptor yields a valuable 4-aminophenol reagent.

Nowadays, many organic pollutants have been targeted as endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs). Natural and synthetic hormones, plastics, detergents, pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and industrial chemicals affect the endocrine system of humans and wildlife¹. Numerous individual biological processes including reproduction, brain function, and the immune system are disrupted by these pollutants.² Phenolic and nitroaromatic compounds, used in industrial and agricultural wastewaters, have exhibited both estrogenic and anti-androgenic activities on humans, animals and plants, even at very low concentrations.^{3,4} 4-nitrophenol (*4-NP*) is a highly harmful compound and has been quoted as a potential endocrine activity disruptor in immature male rats.⁵ *4-NP* is present commonly in diesel exhaust and it is also found in industrial and hospital wastewaters since it is a precursor not only of pesticides, but also of certain pharmaceuticals, plasticizers and azo-dyes.⁶⁻⁷ The permitted level of *4-NP* in water is, in general, 30 µg L⁻¹ and its photolysis in aqueous solutions is a very slow and inefficient process.⁷ Currently, the use of hydroxyl radicals (UV-C/H₂O₂) has been of interest in the degradation of *4-NP* because of the good photo-oxidation rates⁸, nevertheless, nanometric sol-gel crystallites of TiO₂ catalysts are more efficient⁸⁻⁹ than other crystalline TiO₂ catalysts. The use of metallic nanoparticles supported on these metal oxides has enhanced the oxidation or reduction of *4-NP*, but partial oxidation of *4-NP* and by-products still remain.^{9,11} Noble metals can carry out the reduction of *4-NP* to 4-aminophenol (*4-AP*), increasing the reduction rate and selectivity to the valuable *4-AP* by the

addition of reducing agents, which helps remarkably the reduction reaction. It is generally agreed that the use of the typical sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) or hydrazine (NH₂NH₂) as strong reducing agents can accelerate the *4-NP* reduction, but their toxic and dangerous nature compromise their role.¹²⁻¹⁶ On the other hand, TiO₂ without noble metals can hardly reduce *4-NP* in the presence of these powerful reducing agents. If TiO₂ is modified with polyamine and UV-visible light irradiation is used, the reduction of *4-NP* is possible.¹⁷ In this communication, it is demonstrated for the first time that the complete reduction of the endocrine-disruptor *4-NP* to *4-AP* is possible by using a soft reducing agent such as sodium sulfite (Na₂SO₃) as sacrificing agent at room temperature by means of a photocatalytic process with silver nanoparticles photo-functionalized on the surface of a nanocrystalline TiO₂.

The TiO₂ substrate was synthesized by a previously reported sol-gel technique.⁹ In brief, titanium isopropoxide, n-butanol and an urea/butanol/water solution were added simultaneously dropwise to a 4-neck round bottom flask under continuous stirring. The reactant solution was aged for 72 h at 80°C. The solvents were removed with a vacuum evaporator at 80 °C, dried overnight under vacuum at 100 °C, and finally annealed at 450°C for 4 h. Furthermore, practical UV functionalization with 1.5 wt% of Ag⁰NPs on sol-gel TiO₂ and commercial TiO₂-P25 surfaces was carried out using a silver nitrate ethanol solution. Either, the sol-gel TiO₂ or commercial TiO₂-P25 was added to the solution and the resultant slurry was irradiated with a UV-lamp (TecnoLite G15T8, 214 nm, 17 W) for 60 min. under vigorous stirring and finally dried overnight at 80°C. The photocatalysts were labeled as Ag/TSG and Ag/TP25, respectively. To understand their behaviour in the photoreduction reaction and correlate it with the reducing properties of the materials, structural and optical characterizations were carried out by means of XRD, UV-Vis spectroscopy and TEM microscopy in HRTEM and STEM-HAADF modes. A possible photoreduction mechanism of the *4-NP* endocrine disruptor was proposed.

The diffuse reflectance UV-Vis spectra of all the samples (Fig. 1-a) show an absorption peak at 320 nm, which is characteristic of TiO₂ semiconductors.¹⁸ The band-gap energy (E_g) value ranged from 3.05 to 3.28 eV. It has been reported that when the TiO₂ framework is functionalized with Ag⁰NPs by different methods, the E_g values are red-shifted, depending on the size and dispersion of particles. In this case, the E_g energies are practically unchanged due to the low silver loading (<2 wt%), however, the dispersion of the Ag⁰NPs can be estimated by considering the Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR), which is correlated with the Ag⁰NPs size.¹⁹ Thus, in the Ag/TP25 catalyst, the absorption band centered at 585 nm is attributed to the SPR of Ag⁰NPs due to closer Ag⁰NPs, but it is detected scarcely in the Ag/TSG catalyst. The sol-gel TiO₂ catalyst has a surface area of 116 m²/g, while the commercial TiO₂-P25 presents normally an area of 56 m²/g; therefore, two times more surface area with high dispersion of Ag⁰NPs is expected in the Ag/TSG catalyst. Fig. S1 (see Electronic Supplementary Information, ESI) shows the selected High-Angle Annular Dark-Field (HAADF) images for the sol-gel TiO₂ catalyst obtained in STEM mode in order to identify more clearly the size of the Ag⁰NPs. By contrast, in the Ag/TSG catalysts, it is more difficult to identify the Ag⁰NPs due to their high dispersion and very small size. The EDX analysis confirmed the presence of silver on the TiO₂ surface. The XRD patterns of both silver functionalized TiO₂ catalysts are shown in Figure 1-b, where anatase is the main TiO₂ crystalline phase. The peak corresponding to the anatase reflection (25.41° of 2 theta; JCPDF 070-6026) is slightly broad for the Ag/TSG catalyst, showing a smaller crystallite size. In both materials, the Ag⁰NPs are not observed due to the high dispersion and nanometric size ≤ 5 nm as it is observed in the HAADF images (see, ESI). Histograms of the average particle sizes in both samples are presented. For Ag/TSG, the average particle size is 3 nm, while for the Ag/TP25 catalyst the average particle size is 9 nm, which explains the presence of SPR of Ag⁰NPs on the P25 surface. Figure S3 shows the interplanar spacing for TiO₂, ~ 0.34 nm (101 plane of anatase, and for Ag⁰, ~ 0.21 nm (111 plane 15-3325) and a HAADF image that confirms the presence of AgNPs.




Fig. 1. UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra and XRD patterns of: (a) TSG, (b) Ag/TSG, (c) Ag/TP25 photocatalysts.

The photocatalytic reduction test was performed in a home-made, glass reactor system containing 200 mL of an aqueous solution with 7.5 ppm of 4 NP, 8 mM of Na₂SO₃ and 0.025-0.2 g/L of the photocatalyst loading, under previously established conditions.²⁰ The suspension was maintained under magnetic stirring (600 rpm) at room temperature and the system was irradiated with 254 nm UV light (UVP-Pen-ray, 4400 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$). The determination of the concentration of the 4-NP endocrine disruptor was made by UV-Vis spectroscopy using a Varian Cary 5000 spectrometer by following

the disappearance of the absorption band at 400 nm for the 4-NPhenolate (4-NPate) ions in alkaline media by the presence of Na₂SO₃. When the EDC 4-NPate solution was irradiated with UV light in the presence of the TSG catalyst without noble metals, the absorption band at 400 nm, corresponding to the 4-NPate, got reduced within 3h and a new absorption band appeared at 295 nm, characteristic of the 4-AP formation, (Figure 2), suggesting that the EDC 4-NPate was successfully photoreduced.^{17, 20} A slight decrease in the 4-NPate absorption band was detected for the photocatalytic reaction in the absence of any catalyst (photolysis), suggesting that a certain reduction degree of the EDC 4-NPate took place.




Fig. 2. UV-Vis spectra for the photoreduction of 4-NPate with the TSG photocatalyst under UV light irradiation with 8 mM of Na₂SO₃.

A good linear correlation of the C/C_0 plot versus time for the 4-NPate reductions at low photolysis conversion (<50%) for the TSG and Ag/TSG catalysts (Fig. 3-A) is the proof for a zero order rate kinetics, which indicates that the reduction rate is independent of the EDC 4-NPate concentrations.²¹ The 4-NPate photoreduction with Ag/TSG was completed in ~ 1.5 h. The photoreduction rate in the absence of any photocatalyst (photolysis) was very low ($k=0.15 \text{ M h}^{-1}$), but when the TSG catalyst was added, the photoreduction rate was increased as the photocatalyst load was also increased (Fig. 3-B), obtaining a rate constant value of 0.57 M h^{-1} (~ 4 times higher than the one obtained with photolysis) at 0.2 g/L of photocatalyst load. In the same way, when the TSG photocatalyst was functionalized with Ag⁰NPs (1.5 wt %), the photoreduction rate was also increased as the photocatalyst load was increased, obtaining a rate constant value of 2.7 M h^{-1} at 0.15 g/L of photocatalyst load, which is ~ 5 times higher than that for the TSG photocatalyst and ~ 18 times higher than that for photolysis.




Fig. 3. A) Plot of C/C_0 versus time for the photoreduction of the 4-NPate: a) without and b) with TSG catalyst. B) Apparent zero order rate constant for the photoreduction of 4-NPate with TSG and Ag/TSG as a function of photocatalyst load.

By comparing the photocatalytic reduction rate of EDC 4-NPate, using commercial TiO₂-P25 (TP25) as reference (Fig. 4), the photoreduction rate of the TSG photocatalyst (0.4 M h^{-1}) was slightly higher than that of TP25 (0.26 M h^{-1}). But, when TP25 was

functionalized with Ag⁰NPs, the photoreduction rate was increased 12 times until reaching 3.2 M h⁻¹, suggesting that the Ag⁰NPs deposited on TiO₂-P25 are the best. These results suggest that the Ag⁰NPs deposited on both TiO₂ surfaces enhanced remarkably the electron transfer process from the semiconducting surface to the acceptor reactant (EDC 4-*NPate*), achieving a high photocatalytic reduction rate. In order to show the unprecedented photocatalytic activity of the Ag⁰NPs on TiO₂, an evaluation of substrates with Ag⁰NPs functionalization like Ag/ZnS(en)_{0.5} hybrid and Ag-Al₂O₃ was carried out confirming the superior photoactivity of the Ag-TiO₂ nanocrystalline composite (see Figure S4 in ESI).




Fig. 4. Comparison of the pseudo zero order rate constant value for the photoreduction of the 4-*NPate* over the TiO₂ photocatalyst and functionalized TiO₂ with Ag⁰NPs with 0.15 g/L of photocatalyst load.

The photocatalytic mechanism for the reduction of the endocrine-disruptor 4-*NPate* by using TiO₂ without noble metal follows a similar-previously-reported mechanism,^{9, 17} where the photogenerated holes on the TiO₂ surface react with the sulfite ions (SO₃²⁻) causing their oxidation until the sulphate is formed, while the photogenerated electrons are transferred to the acceptor molecule (4-*NPate*) from the nitro group to form the nitrophenolate radical and, as consequence, to form 4-*hydroxylaminophenol* and the transform it into *aminophenol*.²² Considering that the redox potential for couples (4-*NP*/4-*AP*) and (SO₃^{•-}/SO₃²⁻) in aqueous solution is -0.76 V²³ and 0.63 V (NHE),²⁴ respectively, in the presence of SO₃²⁻ ions at pH=9.5, the position of the conduction band of the TiO₂ semiconductor should be favourably negative (<-0.8) V NHE). (Fig. 5). This electron transfer is fast when the Ag⁰NPs are deposited onto the TiO₂ surface because the Ag⁰NPs act as electron captors and help to transfer electrons from the semiconducting surface to the acceptor molecule through all the Ag⁰NPs. The electronic transfer contributes to the stability of the Ag⁰NPs during the UV irradiation.

Photoreduction of EDC 4-*NPate* with Ag/TiO₂




Fig. 5. Schematic representation of the photoreduction of 4-*NP* in the presence of Na₂SO₃, with AgNPs-TiO₂ photocatalysts.

For recyclability studies the powdered systems need to be immobilised because recuperation from aqueous media implies the loss of the photocatalyst. Further studies will be developed in order to immobilize the material as a coat of foams as we reported previously for the inactivation of marine algae²⁵ and dye solar discoloration²⁶.

In summary, either sol-gel TiO₂ or commercial TiO₂-P25 were tested on the reduction of the endocrine-disruptor 4-*NPate* to 4-*AP* in the presence of Na₂SO₃ as a soft reducing agent by means of a photocatalytic process. The Ag⁰NPs photodeposited over sol-gel TiO₂ and P25 photocatalysts enhanced around 7 and 12 times the photocatalytic process, respectively. The Ag⁰NPs act not only as electron captors, but also as electron transfer agents that enhance the 4-*AP* yield. Ag⁰NPs functionalized over Ag/ZnS(en)_{0.5} hybrid or Al₂O₃ substrates did not enhance the 4-*NPate* photoreduction confirming the unique activity of Ag/TiO₂ materials. For the first time, a practical functionalization of TiO₂ with 3-nm Ag⁰NPs has shown potential reduction photoactivity using Na₂SO₃ as a harmless reducing agent, yielding a valuable 4-*Aminophenol* reagent for the analgesic industry. The possible applications of the UV-driven harmless photocatalyst system may be extended to the reduction of other nitro-aromatic compounds to amino-aromatics.

Acknowledgements

The use of the infrastructure of NANOTECH-CIMAV and LINAN are gratefully acknowledged. We thank M. B. A. Rivera-Escoto for her technical assistance. We thank SEP CONACYT-CB-2011/169597 “*Desarrollo de Materiales Semiconductores Nanoestructurados para la degradación de contaminantes Disruptores Endócrinos recalcitrantes*” for the financial support.

Notes and references

- ^a División de Materiales Avanzados, Instituto Potosino de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica, Camino a la Presa San José 2055 Col. Lomas 4a. sección C.P. 78216, San Luis Potosí, S.L.P., México. *E-mail: vicente.rdz@ipicyt.edu.mx; Tel: +52 44483 42000 ext. 7295
- ^b Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Circuito Exterior SN, Ciudad Universitaria, CP 04510, México D.F., Coyoacán, México.
- ^c Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Sevilla, Centro Mixto Universidad de Sevilla-CSIC. C/Américo Vespucio, 49, 41092 Sevilla, Spain.
- ^d Departamento de Materiales Nanoestructurados, Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, Miguel de Cervantes 120 Chihuahua, 31109 Chih., México.

Available Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI): [HAADF and HRTEM images and histograms for Ag/TP25 and Ag/TSG; also, Comparison of reduction of 4-NP with AgNPs over ZnS and Al₂O₃ substrates and HAADF images of Ag/ZnS]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

1. C. M. Markey, B. S. Rubin, A. M. Soto, and C. Sonnenschein, *J. Steroid. Biochem.* 2002, **83**, 235
2. L. N. Vandenberg, T. Colborn, T. B. Hayes, J. J. Heindel, D. R. Jacobs, Jr., D.-H. Lee, T. Shioda, A. M. Soto, F. S. vom Saal, W. V. Welshons, R. T. Zoeller, and J. Peterson Myers, *Endocr. Rev.*, 2012, **33**, 2011.

3. C. Li, S. Taneda, AK. Suzuki, C. Furuta, G. Watanabe, and K. Taya, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2006, **217**, 1.
4. J. Lea, and A. Adesina, *J. Chem. Techn. Biotechn.*, 2001, **76**, 803.
5. S. Taneda, Y. Mori, K. Kamata, H. Hayashi, C. Furuta, C. Li, K. Seki, A. Sakushima, S. Yoshino, K. Yamaki, G. Watanabe, and K. Taya, AK Suzuki, *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, 2004, **27**, 835.
6. ChunMei Li, S. Taneda, AK. Suzuki, C. Furuta, G. Watanabe, and K. Taya, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharm.*, 2006, **217**, 1.
7. G. S. Garbellini, G. R. Salazar-Banda and L. A. Avaca, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **18**, 1095
8. A. Di Paola, V. Augugliaro, L. Palmisano, G. Pantaleo, and E. Savinov, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chemistry*, 2003, **155**, 207.
9. A. Hernandez-Gordillo, M. Arroyo, R. Zanella, and V. Rodríguez-González *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2014, **268**, 84
10. H. Ilyas, Ishtiaq A. Qazi, Wasim Asgar, M. Ali Awan, and Zahir-uddin Khan, *J. Nanomaterials* 2011, Article ID **589185**, 8 pages
11. B. Divband, M. Khatamian, G.R. Kazemi Eslamian, and M. Darbandi, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2013, **284**, 80
12. J. Li, C-Y Liu and Y. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 8426.
13. R. Prucek, L. Kvittek, A. Panacek, L. Vancurova, J. Soukupova, D. Jancik and R. Zboril, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 8463.
14. M. J. Fernández-Merino, L. Guardia, J. I. Paredes, S. Villar-Rodil, A. Martínez-Alonso and J. M. D. Tascón, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 18323.
15. I. H. Abd El Maksod and T. S. Saleh, *Green Chem. Lett. Rev.*, 2010, **3**, 127
16. T.M. Jyothi, R. Rajagopal, K. Sreekumar, M. B. Talawar, S. Sugunan and B. S. Rao, *J. Chem. Res. (S)*, 1999, **11**, 674.
17. K. Urkude, S. R. Thakare, and S. Gawande, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2014, **2**, 759
18. Shiraishi, Y. Togawa, D. Tsukamoto, S. Tanaka, and T. Hirai, *ACS Catal.* 2012, **2**, 2475.
19. Y. Gong, Y. Zhou, L. He, B. Xie, F. Song, M. Han, G. Wang, *Eur. Phys. J. D*, 2013, **67**:87.
20. A. Hernandez-Gordillo, A. G. Romero, F. Tzompantzi, R. Gómez, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2014, **144**, 507.
21. A. Leelavathi, T. Udaya Bhaskara Rao, and T. Pradeep, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2011, **6**:123.
22. F Mahdavi, T.C. Bruton. Y. Li J., *Org. Chem.* 1993, **58**, 744.
23. P. Deng, Z. Xu, Y. Feng, J. Li, *Sensors Actuators B*, 2012, **168**, 381.
24. P. Neta, R. E. Huie, *Environ. Health Persp.* 1985, **64**, 209.